Case 3:06-cv-04257-CRB Document 11 Filed 10/03/06 Page 1 of 4

	<i>i</i>			
1 2 3 4	John M. Farrell (SBN 99649/jfarrell@fr.com) FISH & RICHARDSON P.C. 500 Arguello Street, Suite 500 Redwood City, CA 94063 Telephone: (650) 839-5070 Facsimile: (650) 839-5071 Attorneys for Defendant			
5	COBRA TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION			
6				
7				
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA			
10	SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION			
11	SUBCAM, INC., a Florida corporation; JAMES W. RAULSTON, an individual,; and	C06-04257-CRB		
12	ROBERT C. SOLTYSIK, an individual,	STIPULATION TO EXTEND LITIGATION DEADLINE PURSUANT TO		
13	Plaintiffs,	CIVIL LOCAL RULES 6-2 AND 7-12;		
14	v.	[I KOI OSED] OKDEK		
15 16	COBRA TECHNOLOGIES CORP., a Georgia corporation; COBRA TECHNOLOGIES INTERNATIONAL, INC., a Delaware			
17	corporation; PIPELINE OBSERVATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT (POSM), an			
18	unknown entity; ROBERT M. KATTER, an individual; and R.S. TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC. a California corporation,			
19	Defendants.			
20	Bolemants.			
21				
22	WHEREFORE, Plaintiffs SUBCAM, INC., JAMES W. RAULSTON and ROBERT C.			
23	SOLTYSIK ("Plaintiffs") filed their Complaint on July 11, 2006. Defendant COBRA			
24	TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION ("COBRA") was served with Summons and Complaint in			
25	this matter on or about September 1, 2006. Pursuant to Stipulation and Order, COBRA was			
26	granted an extension of time to respond to the Complaint. Barring further extensions of time,			
27	COBRA will respond to the Complaint on October 20, 2006.			
28				

1	WHEREFORE, Defendants PIPELINE OBSERVATION SYSTEM MANAGEMENT				
2	(POSM), ROBERT M. KATTER and R.S. TECHNICAL SERVICES, INC. (the "POSM				
3	Defendants"), were timely served with Summons and Complaint. Pursuant to Stipulation and				
4	Order, the POSM Defendants were granted an extension of time to respond to the Complaint.				
5	Barring further extensions of time, the POSM Defendants will respond to the Complaint on				
6	October 18, 2006.				
7	WHEREFORE, all defendants have not yet been served with Summons and Complaint and				
8	have not appeared or received extensions of time to respond to the Complaint.				
9	WHEREFORE, the Case Management Conference is scheduled for October 13, 2006, in				
10	this Court. All defendants who have retained counsel and appeared in this action will not be				
11	required to respond to the Complaint until dates subsequent to the currently scheduled date for the				
12	Case Management Conference.				
13	WHEREFORE, all defendants who have retained counsel and appeared in this action are in				
14	agreement that the case will not be ready for a Case Management Conference on October 13,				
15	2006, and wish to continue the Case Management Conference to a later date.				
16	WHEREFORE, COBRA and the POSM Defendants have agreed to extend the Case				
17	Management Conference, as follows:				
18	Action	Current Deadline	Stipulated Extension		
19	Case Management	October 13, 2006	[Proposed: November 17, 2006]		
20	Conference		[
21	WHEREFORE, there have been no previous time modifications in this case, except with				
22	respect to defendants' responses to the Complaint.				
23	WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully request the Court to adopt the above-stated				

WHEREFORE, the parties respectfully request the Court to adopt the above-stated schedule.

25 | // 26 | // 27 | //

24

28

Case 3:06-cv-04257-CRB Document 11 Filed 10/03/06 Page 3 of 4

1	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED that the parties adhere to the extended schedule listed		
2	above.		
3	Dated: September 29, 2006	Law Offices of Henry Harmeling IV, APC	
4			
5		By: \s\ Henry Harmeling IV	
6		Henry Harmeling IV	
7		Attorney for Plaintiffs	
8	Pursuant to General Order 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of		
9	perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Henry		
10	Harmeling IV.		
11	Dated: September 29, 2006	FISH & RICHARDSON P.C.	
12			
13		By: \s\ John M. Farrell John M. Farrell	
14			
15		Attorneys for Defendant COBRA TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION	
16			
17	Dated: September 29, 2006	LEWIS BRISBOIS BISGAARD & SMITH LLP	
18			
19		By: \s\ Deborah F. Sirias Deborah F. Sirias	
20			
21		Attorneys for Defendants PIPELINE OBSERVATION SYSTEM	
22		MANAGEMENT (POSM), ROBERT M. KATTER and R.S. TECHNICAL SERVICES,	
23		INC.	
24			
25			
26			
27			
28			

Case 3:06-cv-04257-CRB Document 11 Filed 10/03/06 Page 4 of 4

1	1			
2	Pursuant to General Order 45, Section X(B) rega	Pursuant to General Order 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under penalty of		
3	3 perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has	perjury that concurrence in the filing of this document has been obtained from Deborah F. Sirias.		
4	4 Dated: September 29, 2006 FISH &	RICHARDSON P.C.		
5	5			
6		S\ John M. Farrell		
7	7	ohn M. Farrell		
8		ys for Defendant A TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION		
9	9			
10	0			
11	Dated: September 29, 2006 FISH &	z RICHARDSON P.C.		
12	2			
13	· =	s\ John M. Farrell ohn M. Farrell		
14	4			
15		rys for Defendant A TECHNOLOGIES CORPORATION		
16				
17	7 ORDER			
18	8 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO OR			
19	9 Dated: October 03, 2006	TES DISTRICT CO		
20	20 Boi	· Charles D. Dravian (2)		
21	21 Jud	n. Charles R. Breyer ge of the United Court		
22		ge of the United State Court IS SO ORDERED		
23	50373633.doc	Charles R. Breyer		
24	11	Judge Charles R. Breyer		
25	25			
26	26	N DISTRICT OF CE		
27				
28	28			